

CHAPTER V CONCLUSIONS, LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Conclusions

1. First issue (Indonesian action in giving the death sentence to a Brazilian can be justified under Indonesian and international law):

Indonesia action in giving death sentence to a Brazilian drug's dealer can be justified by international law, since it does not break any international law, especially ICCPR, which had been ratified by Indonesia and also did not break any Indonesian law, since death sentence is allowed in the Indonesian drug law.

2. Second issue (Brazilian government actions to close its embassy in Indonesia as a reaction to death sentence of their citizens can be justified under Indonesian and international law):

Brazilian government does not break any international law when they close and recalls its embassy in Indonesia as stated in Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations 1961, which also had been ratified in Indonesia article 45 paragraph 1. As an addition, Brazilian government also did not break any international law when they rejected the new Indonesia for Brazil designate.

B. Limitations

This research may still be far of being perfect, particularly because:

1. The research is not supported by primary data that's regardless of the country of origin writer viewpoint. Often, the article was written and reported by the authors or journalists Indonesian nationals' favor of the country's policy. As well as articles written and reported by the authors or foreign journalists, they are more favorable to his country.
2. The limitation of the time in preparation of this research with the existing format because the deadline given by the study program is limited.
3. In addition, Researcher has difficulty in preparing perfect and correct grammar in English.

C. Recommendations

Based on the result of research conducted by Researcher, Researcher recommends the following:

1. Indonesia:

- a. Even though Indonesia does not break any international law when they execute Brazilian drug's dealer, but they should pay more attention towards other rules. Do not violate a regulation to implement another

rule. Indonesia should consider other rules that goes along together with another.

b. Brazil does not break the rule when they close and recalls their embassy in Indonesia, also when they rejected Indonesia for Brazil new designate. The Indonesian reaction toward Brazil is too over reacting. Supposed, Indonesia takes Brazil actions easily since Brazil citizens had just been executed abroad for the very first time that took place in Indonesia.

2. Brazil:

a. When closing their embassy in Indonesia, Brazil shall only take this action as a statement of strong disapproval of the execution of their citizens for the very first time abroad. Brazil should re-open their embassy in Indonesia soon after they are done with consultation with their ambassador, because there is still a lot of Brazil citizens in Indonesia that would or could need their help anytime.